

Author Query Form

Journal: EJED

Article: 12250

Dear Author,

During the copyediting of your manuscript the following queries arose.

Please refer to the query reference callout numbers in the page proofs and respond to each by marking the necessary comments using the PDF annotation tools.

Please remember illegible or unclear comments and corrections may delay publication.

Many thanks for your assistance.

| Query References | Query | Remarks |
|------------------|---|---------|
| AQ1 | AUTHOR: Author: Please confirm that the affiliations are OK as typeset. | |
| AQ2 | AUTHOR: Author: Please confirm that the corresponding author information is OK as typeset. | |
| AQ3 | AUTHOR: Author: Please note that "Ibañez, 2010" has been changed to "Ibañez, 2011" in accordance with the reference list. Please check if it is OK as amended. | |
| AQ4 | AUTHOR: Author: Please note that "UNPFII, 2010" has been changed to "UNPFII, 2008" in accordance with the reference list. Please check if it is OK as amended. | |
| AQ5 | AUTHOR: Author: Please provide publisher details for "Baer & Reuter, 2015". | |
| AQ6 | AUTHOR: Author: Please provide publisher details for "Barratt Hacking et al. 2010" | |
| AQ7 | AUTHOR: Author: Please provide URL for "ECI, 2000". | |
| AQ8 | AUTHOR: Author: There is no mention of "Food and Agriculture Organization 2015" in the text. Please insert a citation in the text or delete the reference as appropriate. | |
| AQ9 | AUTHOR: Author: Please provide publisher location or "Food and Agriculture Organization, 2015". | |
| AQ10 | AUTHOR: Author: Please provide page range for "Gundersen & Oreopoulos, 2010". | |
| AQ11 | AUTHOR: Author: Please provide page range for "Hanushek & Woessmann, 2010". | |
| AQ12 | AUTHOR: Author: There is no mention of "Huckle 2012" in | |

| | | |
|------|--|--|
| | the text. Please insert a citation in the text or delete the reference as appropriate. | |
| AQ13 | AUTHOR: Author: Please provide date for "Pope Francis 2015" | |
| AQ14 | AUTHOR: Author: Please provide publisher location and editor(s) name for "Sterling, 2004". | |
| AQ15 | AUTHOR: Author: There is no mention of "UNESCO 2012" in the text. Please insert a citation in the text or delete the reference as appropriate. | |
| AQ16 | AUTHOR: Author: Please provide page range for "Waters et al. 2016" | |
| AQ17 | AUTHOR: Please confirm that given names (red) and surnames/family names (green) have been identified correctly. | |

WILEY
Author Proof

Can we meet the sustainability challenges? The role of education and lifelong learning

1
2

AQ17



Arjen E. J. Wals¹ | Aaron Benavot²

3

¹Department of Education and Competence Studies, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands

²Department of Educational Policy and Leadership, School of Education, University at Albany-SUNY, 1400 Washington Avenue, Albany, New York

AQ1

Correspondence

Arjen E. J. Wals, Department of Education and Competence Studies, Wageningen University, Hollandseweg 1, 6706 KN, Wageningen, The Netherlands.
Email: Arjen.wals@wur.nl

AQ2



Abstract

Education and lifelong learning are increasingly being mobilised to address the global environmental crisis and accompanying sustainability challenges. This article discusses the many roles of education about and for sustainable development, drawing on evidence and arguments put forward in the 2016 Global Education Monitoring Report, *Education for People and Planet*. It highlights specific viewpoints, values and ways of thinking that best characterize effective learning for sustainability. It also emphasises the importance of a 'whole school' or 'whole institutional' approach to education for sustainability.

5
12
6
13
18
19
10
11
14
17
18
19
20
21
22
24
25

1 | THE GLOBAL ENVIRONMENTAL CRISIS AND THE SUSTAINABILITY CHALLENGE

26
27

A conclusive body of accumulated evidence shows that the actions and habits of a single species, *Homo Sapiens*, are leading to the planet's unprecedented dysfunction (World Wildlife Fund [WWF], 2016).¹ The scale and pace of biodiversity loss, land degradation, stratospheric ozone depletion and climate change are all attributable to human activities. Humans are responsible for the massive release of carbon dioxide and other heat-trapping gases into the atmosphere. Human behaviour has caused irreversible damage to some plant and animal species. The variety of vertebrates such as mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians and fish has declined by 52% since 1970 (McLellan, [redacted], Jeffries, & Oerlemans, 2014). The largest extinction is happening among freshwater species, mostly due to habitat loss and extensive hunting and fishing.

According to climate scientists, Earth is entering a new geological era, the Anthropocene, where human activities are undermining the planet's capacity to regulate itself (Crutzen & Stoermer, 2000; Waters et al., 2016). Until the beginning of the Industrial Revolution in the late-1700s, global environmental changes were not linked to human actions. They were essentially the product of slow-occurring natural causes, such as variations in the sun's energy or volcanic eruptions. However, since the spread² of industrial manufacturing, during which time many countries have benefited from increased trade, economic growth and longer, healthier lives, the natural world has suffered environmental deterioration. Consequently, an increasing share of the world's population is living beyond the ecological limits set by Earth's finite natural resources and support systems.

The relationship between human development and environmental impact is not straightforward. On the one hand, people living in wealthier countries with higher levels of education are more likely to lead lifestyles that leave a harmful

28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

footprint on global ecosystems, from increases in water usage and food waste to higher levels of carbon dioxide emitted through car and aeroplane use. On the other hand, expanding access to environmental education and ecological literacy has encouraged people to alter their personal attitudes and everyday behaviour to support recycling, reduce litter, conserve energy and improve water sanitation. This means that some, but not all, kinds of education can be effective tools in improving local environments and planetary health.

This article, based on material compiled for the 2016 Global Education Monitoring Report (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation [UNESCO], 2016) to which both authors contributed, discusses the ways education can help people and institutions understand and respond to global, regional and local environmental issues. The term 'education' is used here in the broadest sense: all forms of formal, non-formal and informal education and training that equip individuals and institutions in the public, private and community sectors to effectively respond to pressing environmental challenges. To be most impactful, education and lifelong learning should be part of an *integrated* approach that also includes changes in governance, legislation, research, financing and regulation towards greater environmental sustainability.

2 | GLOBAL CHALLENGES, NATIONAL AND LOCAL SOLUTIONS

The world's available resources are not distributed evenly among countries and even among regions within countries. While humans as a species are responsible for the global environmental crisis, the fact is that people living in different countries face different environmental challenges in terms of scale and scope (United Nations, 2015).

The most populous countries and those with rapidly growing populations are more likely to deplete surrounding natural resources than smaller countries or those with slow growing populations. Resource depletion can be measured by an ecological footprint indicator² which examines a country's use of land and water resources and shows a deficit of resources compared to consumption. For example, countries with expanding urban areas are more likely to leave large ecological footprints and confront serious environmental problems: water scarcity and contamination, land shortage, polluted air and insufficient sanitation.

Wealthier countries—typically encouraging lifestyles with less environmentally-friendly consumption patterns—leave the highest ecological footprints and are mostly found in Europe and Northern America (Ewing et al., 2010; WWF, 2016). Countries such as the Republic of Korea and Singapore, which have experienced rapid increases in living standards, health and education, have seen a near doubling of their ecological footprint due to changing consumption patterns. By contrast, countries with low levels of development, mostly in sub-Saharan Africa, have much lower ecological footprints. Eritrea and Timor-Leste have ecological footprints that are less than 5% of those with the highest footprints. Cuba, Georgia, Moldova and Sri Lanka are noteworthy countries since they are balancing human development and sustainable practices within a range of sustainable production and consumption (UNEP, 2015; WWF, 2016).

While overpopulation, urbanisation and unsustainable lifestyles harm local resources, they have impacts that transcend national borders. This also means that the environmentally-friendly decisions and behaviour taken by individuals and institutions in one locale have impacts that go beyond the areas in which they reside. Although the challenge for all countries is essentially the same—namely, finding an acceptable level of human development without overburdening the Earth's biocapacity³—, each path will be different depending on local conditions and, as we will argue, educational opportunities.

3 | EDUCATION AND ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY

Expanding education opportunity has long been recognised as an important contributor to long-term economic growth (Gundersen & Oreopoulos, 2010; Hanushek & Woessmann, 2010, 2012; Psacharopoulos & Patrinos, 2014). With the rapid decline of the Earth's biocapacity and a related rise in concern for environmental sustainability, many view education as critical in the transition to more sustainable forms of development. Degree programmes, adult education courses, community initiatives, media campaigns and on-line websites are some of the many ways that education is

TABLE 1 An historical perspective of education in relation to people and planet

| | Nature Conservation Education (NCE) | Environmental Education (EE) | Sustainability Education (SE) | Environmental and Sustainability Education (ESE) |
|-----------------|---|---|--|---|
| Starting period | Late 19th century, early 20th century | Late 1960-ties, early 1970-ties | Early 1990-ties. end of the DESD (2014) | Present |
| Alain focus | Connecting with nature, understanding web-of-life, protecting species, raising awareness, knowledge and understanding | Raising environmental awareness about pollution of water, soil and air. (note: there are forms of critical EE that resemble the focus and impact of SE) | Increasing citizen engagement, participation in sustainable development issues and increasing their understanding of the connections between environment, economy, culture and ecology and how today's actions affect future generations | As under SE but also: connecting with place and the non-human world (deepening of relations) as well as attention for both agency (learning to make change) and the critique and transgression of unsustainable societal structures. Global citizenship and local identity. |
| Intended impact | Ecological literacy, societal support-base for nature conservation through national parks | Changing individual environmental behaviors, developing agency and societal support for environmental legislation | A more holistic or integrated approach of dealing with issues around water, food, energy, poverty biodiversity in governance, education, business. | A transition towards a more relational way of being in the world and a society based on values and structures that make sustainable living the default. |
| Examples | Visitor centers in National Parks, Public awareness campaigns, nature programs in schools, school gardening | Environmental education centres in cities. Public awareness campaigns, school curricula, teacher training | Multi-stakeholder platforms focusing on sustainable development issues. Whole institution approaches to sustainability. Corporate Social Responsibility | Brokering learning and engagement within transitions: Intentional communities such as eco villages, transition towns, whole school approaches, local food movements, shared economies, cradle-to-cradle design. |

Source. Adapted from Wals (2012).

being used to improve learners' understanding of environmental issues. Through education, students gain insight into an environmental problem, its consequences and the types of actions required to address it. With greater environmental and ecological literacy, students are more inclined to alter their behaviour regarding specific environmental issues. Environmentally-literate individuals are better equipped to see the links between specific issues and global environmental change. Formal education supplies the knowledge, vocabulary and key concepts, as well as important historical and philosophical background, for environmental literacy. Put another way: education and lifelong learning have the potential to help to reduce our collective ecological footprint and increase our ecological handprint—actions that positively impact our ecology and environment.

Mobilising education to respond to ecological and environmental challenges is not new. Roughly four waves of education-related responses can be distinguished: nature conservation education, dating back to the late 19th century; environmental education, dating back to the 1960s; sustainability education, dating to the 1992 Earth Summit; and environmental and sustainability education, which critically blends elements from earlier approaches (Wals, 2012). The first focused on (re)connecting people with nature; the second on developing ecological literacy and changing environmental behaviour and lifestyles; the third on citizen engagement and capacity building for sustainable development; and the final one on rethinking humanity's place in the world and global citizenship (see Table 1). All responses co-exist today, although the emphasis varies depending on context and history.

T1

The evidence, while uneven, suggests that education-based responses to environmental challenges have been 105
 influential. The vast majority of 15-year-olds in Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) 106
 countries are familiar with environmental issues, including air pollution, energy shortages and extinction of plants and 107
 animals (OECD, 2009). More educated adults express greater concern about environmental matters. Education encour- 108
 ages pro-environmental political behaviour—for example, supporting environment-friendly policies, voting for green 109
 parties or causes, and becoming involved in environmental activism (Coan & Holman, 2008; Meyer, 2015; UNEP, 110
 2012; UNESCO-LSE, 2013). Furthermore, the foundational skills that education affords constitute an important pre- 111
 condition for environmentally-friendly decisions made by individuals, corporations and institutions. 112

There are, however, critiques of mobilising education in this manner. Some point out that these responses have 113
 never been integral to the central purposes and intended content of education and have remained at the margins of 114
 education systems. In addition, such responses tend to disregard the broader notion of human development and leave 115
 untouched issues of equity and social justice.⁴ Much education for sustainable development supports existing forms of 116
 economic and technological development and its unequal distribution, thereby preparing people for a lifetime of 117
 unsustainable work and consumption (Huckle & Wals, 2015). In this way, education is simply making us 'more effective 118
 vandals of the Earth' (Orr, 1994, p. 5). Other critics argue that environmental crises are partly the unintended 119
 consequence of education's contribution to pervasive 'industrial mind-sets' that steer students towards individualism, 120
 materialism and hyper-rationality. They suggest that Western education has been—albeit unwillingly—an effective tool 121
 for rationalising and popularising environmental destruction (Harris, 2008; Orr, 1996). Many such critiques focus on 122
 the contents and tone of the messages conveyed by and through education rather than on education *per se*. With this 123
 in mind, it is worth distinguishing between two complementary ways of understanding the role of education for 124
 environmental sustainability. The first focuses on education that aims to develop specific environmental behaviours 125
 that are deemed right and necessary; the second focuses on education that develops autonomous, responsible and 126
 reflective citizens who are capable to make up their own minds and follow suitable courses of action. The former has 127
 been called an 'instrumental' approach and the latter an 'emancipatory' approach (Wals, 2012). In practice, these 128
 approaches are not mutually exclusive; they often exist concurrently. 129

Instrumental education develops knowledge, awareness, skills and technical solutions that can contribute to 130
 changes in environmental behaviour, especially where consensus exists as to the needed behaviour. It is appropriate 131
 when people agree on the definition of the environmental problem, its consequences and the best response. Examples 132
 include public awareness campaigns and school programmes on recycling, reducing litter, energy conservation and 133
 water sanitation in Latin America, or using vocational schools to train workers how to make use of new green technol- 134
 ogies in sub-Saharan Africa. Instrumental education is particularly helpful when specific behaviours help to resolve a 135
 particular issue such as recycling, reduced greenhouse-gas emissions or water conservation. In general, instrumental 136
 approaches promote learning that is instruction-oriented, social marketing-based and expert- and policy-driven. 137

Emancipatory approaches aim to contribute to a deeper transition to sustainability where education develops capaci- 138
 ties, literacies and forms of citizenship based on sustainable values and principles. Emancipatory education encourages 139
 self-reflective learners who are capable of altering their values and behaviour and finding solutions for themselves, espe- 140
 cially when the desirable course of action is unclear. It can involve learning about the nature of people's relationships 141
 with each other and with the planet in order to enable citizens to tackle the root causes of environmental mismanage- 142
 ment. Overall, emancipatory education seeks to create spaces for learning in schools, universities, workplaces and com- 143
 munities so that individuals can engage in behaviour towards more meaningful, equitable and sustainable societies. 144
 Emancipatory approaches tend to promote action-oriented, collaborative, participatory and transformative learning. 4. 145

4 | HOW DOES EDUCATION BEST SUPPORT SUSTAINABILITY? 146

4.1 | Draws on diverse viewpoints, particularly indigenous knowledge and practices 147

Education for sustainable development promotes the value of diversity and respect for different viewpoints. This 148
 means, for example, moving beyond dominant anthropocentric, scientific and 'Western' materialist ways of viewing the 149

world to include local and indigenous perspectives. Traditional—and specifically indigenous—knowledge plays an important role in environmental sustainability. Indigenous knowledge refers to knowledge that local communities pass down from generation to generation, usually by word of mouth and cultural rituals. Traditional knowledge has long been the basis for sustainable agriculture, food preparation, health care, socialisation and conservation in indigenous communities.

About 370 million indigenous peoples live in over 90 countries worldwide. Many have lived and continue to live in particularly vulnerable ecosystems. Ranging from the Arctic, high mountains, floodplains, tropical rainforests, desert margins to small islands and low-coastal areas, indigenous territories are directly affected by the current ecological crisis that has brought climate change and the loss of biodiversity. Despite hostile conditions, some indigenous peoples have managed to survive, finding ways to resist and adapt to environmental changes, based on their deep knowledge of and relationship with the environment (Nakashima, Galloway McLean, Thulstrup, Ramos Castillo, & Rubis, 2012). Many indigenous peoples share norms and values that are central to sustainable livelihoods. Fundamental to these values are notions of living well (or *buen vivir*), community, equality and complementarity (Gudynas, 2011; Ibañez, 2011), where the conditions for well-being focus on sufficient food, caring for family and community, reciprocity and solidarity, the freedom to express one’s identity and practise one’s culture and promoting a safe and non-polluted environment (UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues [UNPFII], 2008). These ideas have deep relevance for the sustainability of our planet.

AQ3
AQ4



4.2 | Emphasises learning that crosses boundaries

A critical way for education to encourage sustainability is through the notion of environmental stewardship (Islam, 2012; Murphy, 2006; Orr, 2010; Wolff, 2014). This idea, which highlights the ethical and moral commitments individuals make to a more sustainable planet, encourages principles such as empowerment, local ownership, collaboration, transformation and resilience and is supported by diverse civil society groups as well as political, religious, cultural and intellectual leaders (Dalai Lama, 2016; Earth Charter Initiative [ECI], 2000; Islam, 2012; Pope Francis, 2015).

Education for sustainability and environmental stewardship should provide opportunities for learners to become part of multi-stakeholder platforms and multi-level coalitions involving diverse actors, values, interests and strategic alliances (Leduc & Crate, 2013; Sen, 1999; Strang, 2008; Wals, 2007). Issues addressed in such platforms include local development challenges such as improved sanitation, food and nutrition, water management and promoting climate-smart cities, off-the-grid sustainable energy communities. Concrete examples can be seen in community groups in Detroit, Michigan, that have taken over vacant property to develop urban farming projects (Detroit, 2015); participatory budgeting in Porto Alegre, Brazil as a tool for greater transparency and improved governance (Touchton & Wampler, 2013); and urban farming in parts of Viet Nam that reduces the heat-island effect and serves as an opportunity for ecotourism ventures and organic food production (Climate and Development Knowledge Network [CDKN], 2014). Within these configurations, blended learning in formal, non-formal and informal settings drawing on different disciplines and sources is common.

4.3 | Helps learners acquire new skills and competencies for life

Many argue that governments, corporations and institutions need to learn how to develop and use more holistic frameworks to analyse and respond to environmental issues (UNEP, 2012). This implies the need to include perspectives from different sectors and disciplines when considering, formulating and implementing new policies and programmes (Baer & Reuter, 2015). To do this effectively new skills and competences are increasingly required.

A recent paper by the UN Environment Programme argues that education and training should equip all people—particularly policy-makers—with transformational skills that emphasise cognitive flexibility, the need for trial and error, an openness to change and support for lifelong learning (UNEP, 2015). Education most supports sustainability when it encourages people in positions of authority to seek critical information, embrace innovation and identify where change

is needed—all with the well-being of people and planet in mind. These new skills are thought to be especially useful 194
 where technical demands change quickly, where new innovations are being integrated in policy frameworks and where 195
 work is being reconceived with sustainable purposes in mind. Such skills are not only important for decision-makers, 196
 but also for entrepreneurs who work in sustainable production frameworks. There is a rapidly growing number of small 197
 and medium enterprises, as well as large enterprises involved in sustainability initiatives. 198

5 | TRANSFORMING SCHOOLS TO MEET ENVIRONMENTAL CHALLENGES: THE WHOLE INSTITUTION APPROACH 199

Reviews of education of and for sustainable development have consistently highlighted the importance of developing 201
 practical knowledge and providing hands-on experience to tackle substantive environmental issues (Anderson, 2013; 202
 Benavot, 2014; UNESCO, 2014). Completing basic education is also a key condition insofar as it succeeds in 203
 developing foundational skills, scientific literacy, transformative attitudes and the ability to learn throughout life. Basic 204
 education is also important for conveying information about tools and resources that are available in the public sphere 205
 to promote sustainable consumption and production (UNEP, 2012). 206

Evidence suggests that schools should not only strengthen the connection between learners and nature, but also 207
 their ties to the places and communities in which they are rooted. Many studies show that taking children outdoors 208
 has educational benefits, such as better concentration when back in school and health benefits, such as improved 209
 psychological and physical well-being (Dillon et al., 2006; MacKenzie, Son, & Hollenhorst, 2014; Van den Berg, 210
 Hartig, & Staats, 2007, Van den Berg, Maas, Verheij, & Groenewegen, 2010). Place-based education and providing 211
 opportunities to create personal and emotional connections to nature through lived experiences and hands-on 212
 engagement with environmental issues are critical aspects of education for sustainability, especially in the digital age 213
 where people spend more and more time behind screens (Anderson, 2013; Gruenewald & Smith, 2008; Theimer & 214
 Ernst, 2012; Zaradic & Pergams, 2007). Despite this growing evidence, only a limited number of countries systemati- 215
 cally incorporates the outdoors and the community in the school curriculum.⁵ 216

The challenge for schools is to create an integrated and systemic response that addresses sustainability issues 217
 meaningfully, consistently and effectively. Schools typically respond to environmental challenges in one of four ways: 218
 denial (denying that challenges such as climate change are worthy of attention); 'bolt-on' (adding environmental 219
 management to operations in response to external pressure), 'build-in' (taking the challenge seriously by integrating 220
 environmental sustainability across operations and the organisation) and a 'whole system redesign' (rethinking the 221
 values and assumptions upon which the institution is based and completely overhauling and redesigning structures and 222
 organisation) (Sterling, 2004). 223

In primary and secondary education today, environment and/or sustainability are mainly treated as stand-alone 224
 subjects and not mainstreamed into the curriculum or everyday life of the school (Benavot, 2014). At the university 225
 level, the International Association of Universities, through its Higher Education for Sustainable Development initiative, 226
 promotes sustainability on campuses, including in business and community outreach, student engagement, manage- 227
 ment, institutional development, research and curriculum. However, in reality, most initiatives are of the 'bolt-on' or 228
 'add-on' variety. Whether due to policy or incentive constraints, the orientations of most institutions and individuals 229
 lack a clear and consistent sustainability dimension. This highlights the practical limitations of realising a deeper institu- 230
 tional transformation towards sustainability (Bickford, Posa, Qie, Campos-Arceiz, & Kudavidanage, 2012; Mader & 231
 Rammel, 2015). 232

Creating institutions where policies, operations, contents and practices work together in an integrated fashion is 233
 challenging. Among the most promising approaches is the 'whole school' or 'whole institution' approach in which 234
 schools make concurrent changes to curriculum, extracurricular activities, teacher training, human resources and 235
 infrastructure operations and processes (Mcmillin & Dyball, 2009). This approach probably comes the closest to the 236
 'whole system redesign' mentioned above. In addition to rethinking curricula (are emerging subjects and concepts 237
 covered and new competencies being taught?), the 'whole school' approach implies reconsidering and redesigning 238

schools' operations and environmental management (does the school conserve water and energy, provide healthy food, minimise waste and provide green and healthy school grounds?), pedagogy and learning (are teaching, learning and participation in decision-making adequate and appropriate?) and community relationships (does the school connect with community issues and resources?) (Anderson, 2012; Hargreaves, 2008). While data on such schools are difficult to obtain, they appear to be growing in number.⁶

Research on the impact of a whole school approach in the United Kingdom shows that it improves the school ethos, the quality of both health and students' learning and reduces the school's ecological footprint (Barratt Hacking, Scott, & Lee, 2010). While still a formal model, some schools and universities are aligning their programmes with a whole school approach (Iyengar & Bajaj, 2011). For example, Eco-Schools is a network that supports the quality of 'whole-of-institution' approaches to sustainability in primary and secondary schools by providing a programme of resources and certification standards (www.ecoschools.global).

6 | CONCLUSION

Education and lifelong learning can play a major role in the transformation towards more environmentally-sustainable societies, working alongside initiatives by government, civil society and the private sector. Not only does education shape values, behaviour and worldviews, it also contributes to the development of competencies, skills, concepts and tools that can be used to reduce or halt unsustainable practices and build resilience in the face of environmental degradation and climate change.

Education plays a multifaceted role in sustainability, albeit not always positive. It may contribute directly or indirectly to ideologies promoting resource overconsumption as well as the marginalisation of indigenous knowledge and traditional ways of living in and with the environment. Education may contribute to cultural homogenisation through the loss of linguistic diversity and the undermining of sustainability-relevant norms and values that indigenous communities have historically shared (Mato, 2015; Stavenhagen, 2015).

In analysing whether and how education contributes to sustainability it is important to look at the aims, content, forms and settings in which it is situated. Some forms of education for sustainability address specific environmental challenges and aim to promote certain behaviour (instrumental). Other forms aim to build key competencies—to reflect and think critically, to work collaboratively, to engage with diverse viewpoints—in order to identify possible solutions (emancipatory).

The main point is that there is no single model of education and learning for environmental sustainability, nor should there be. Different communities and institutions should tailor content and pedagogy to the interests of different audiences, as well as different political, economic and social circumstances and geographic locations. Learning can take place in formal, informal and non-formal settings. It can promote indigenous knowledge systems, (re)connect learners with nature, embark on a whole institution approach and develop the agency of learners to act in meaningful ways towards the environment.

What is clear is that neither 'business as usual' nor 'education as usual' are adequate. Given the scale of humanity's environmental crisis, education for sustainability will require efforts from many sectors, with many actors and at many levels. To ensure pro-environmental outcomes, schools must be embedded in their communities, seeking to influence not only the views and actions of learners who walk their halls, but also the decisions made by policy makers in government and business to ensure that they have the long-term interests of their citizens and the planet in mind.

ENDNOTES

¹ Reviews of research spanning different disciplines are difficult to identify, but many of the key studies are listed here: en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Human_impact_on_the_environment

² Estimates how rapidly people are depleting Earth's biocapacity by comparing the area required to produce renewable resources used by humans and absorb their waste, including areas occupied by human infrastructure, and how much land and sea area is available.

³ Biocapacity is the biological capacity of an ecosystem (productive land areas such as forests, pastures, croplands and fisheries) to produce useful biological materials and to absorb carbon dioxide emissions and waste material generated by humans, under current management schemes and extraction technologies. 283-285

⁴ This point is made by a range of scholars representing different vantage points. See: Islam, 2012; Leduc & Crate, 2013; Murphy, 2006; Nussbaum, 2010; Orr, 1996. 286-287

⁵ For a study of the decline of the possibilities for outdoor learning in the early years of education in England see: Waite (2010). 288-289

⁶ See: www.unesco.org/new/en/education/worldwide/single-view/news/unesco_associated_schools_engage_in_a_whole_school_approach/ or gemreportunesco.wordpress.com/2016/11/15/what-is-the-whole-school-approach-to-environmental-education/ 290-292

REFERENCES

Anderson, A. (2012). Climate change education for mitigation and adaptation. *Journal of Education for Sustainable Development*, 6, 191-206. 293-295

Anderson, A. (2013). *Learning to be resilient global citizens for a sustainable world*. Paper commissioned for the 2013/4 EFA Global Monitoring Report, Teaching and Learning. Paris: UNESCO. 296-297

AQ5 Baer, H. A., & Reuter, T. (2015). *Anthropological perspectives on climate change and sustainability: Implications for policy and action* (Submission for the UN Global Sustainable Development Report 2015). 298-299

AQ6 Barratt Hacking, E., Scott, E. W., & ... E. (2010). *Evidence of Impact of Sustainable Schools*. Department for Children, Schools and Families (DCSF). 300-301

Benaot, A. (2014). *Education for Sustainable Development in Primary and Secondary Education*. Background paper for the Decade of Education for Sustainable Development. Paris: UNESCO. 302-303

Bickford, D., Posa, M. R. C., Qie, L., Campos-Arceiz, A., & Kudavidanage, E. P. (2012). Science communication for biodiversity conservation. *Biological Conservation*, 151, 74-76. 304-305

Climate and Development Knowledge Network (CDKN). (2014). *The IPCC's fifth assessment report: What's in it for South Asia?*. London: Author. 306-307

Coan, T. G., & Holman, M. R. (2008). Voting green. *Social Science Quarterly*, 89(5), 1121-1135. 308

Crutzen, P., & Stoermer, E. (2000). The Anthropocene. *International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme Newsletter*, 41, 17-18. 309-310

Dalai Lama. (2016). *Website of his holiness the 14th Dalai Lama of Tibet*. Retrieved from www.dalailama.com/messages/environment 311-312

Detroit, G. O. (2015). *Greening of Detroit*. Retrieved from <http://www.greeningofdetroit.com/> 313

Dillon, J., Rickinson, M., Teamey, K., Morris, M., Choi, M. Y., Sanders, D., & Benefield, P. (2006). The value of outdoor learning: Evidence from research in the UK and elsewhere. *Social Science Review*, 87, 107-111. 314-315

AQ7 Earth Charter Initiative (ECI). (2000). *The earth charter*. 316

Ewing, B., Moore, D., Goldfinger, S., Oursler, A., Reed, A., & Wackernagel, M. (2010). *The ecological footprint atlas 2010*. Oakland: Global Footprint Network. 317-318

~~Food and Agriculture Organization. (2015). *Making it count: Increasing the impact of climate change and food security education programmes*. Author. 319-320~~

AQ8-AQ9 Gruenewald, D., & Smith, G. (2008). *Place-based education in the global age*. New York: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. 321

Gudynas, E. (2011). Buen vivir: Today's tomorrow. *Development*, 54, 441-447. 322

AQ10 Gundersen, R. M., & Oreopoulos, P. (2010). Return on education in developed countries. In D. Brewer & P. McEwan (Eds.), *Economics of education*. Oxford: Elsevier. 323-324

AQ11 Hanushek, E., & Woessmann, L. (2010). Education and economic growth. In D. Brewer & P. McEwan (Eds.), *Economics of education*. Oxford: Elsevier. 325-326

Hanushek, E., & Woessmann, L. (2012). Do better schools lead to more growth? Cognitive skills, economic outcomes, and causation. *Journal of Economic Growth*, 17, 267-321. 327-328

Hargreaves, L. G. (2008). The whole-school approach to education for sustainable development: From pilot projects to systemic change. *Policy & Practice: A Development Education Review*, 6, 69-74. 329-330

Harris, P. G. (2008). Green or brown? Environmental attitudes and governance in Greater China. *Nature and Culture*, 3, 151-182. 331-332

AQ12 ~~Huckle, J. (2012). *Even more sense and sustainability*. *Environmental Education Research*, 18, 845-858. 333~~

- Huckle, J., & Wals, A. E. J. (2015). The UN Decade of Education for Sustainable Development: Business as usual in the end. *Environmental Education Research*, 21, 491-505. 334
335
- Ibañez, A. (2011). Un acercamiento al "buen vivir". *Contextualizaciones Latinoamericanas*, 3, 1-13. 336
- Islam, M. S. (2012). Old philosophy, new movement: The rise of the Islamic ecological paradigm in the discourse of environmentalism. *Nature and Culture*, 7, 72-94. 337
338
- Iyengar, R., & Bajaj, M. (2011). After the smoke clears: Toward education for sustainable development in Bhopal, India. *Comparative Education Review*, 55, 424-456. 339
340
- Leduc, T. B., & Crate, S. A. (2013). Reflexive shifts in climate research and education: Toward relocalizing our lives. *Nature + Culture*, 8, 134-161. 341
342
- MacKenzie, S. H., Son, J., & Hollenhorst, S. (2014). Unifying psychology and experiential education: Toward an integrated understanding of why it works. *Journal of Experiential Education*, 37, 75-88. 343
344
- Mader, C., & Rammel, C. (2015). IAU Global Survey on HESD - First insights on the state of higher education for sustainable development study. *IAU Horizons*, 20, 16. 345
346
- Mato, D. (2015). Pueblos indígenas, estados y educación superior. Aprendizajes de experiencias en varios países de América Latina potencialmente útiles a los procesos en marcha en Argentina. *Cuadernos de Antropología Social*, 41, 5-23. 347
348
- McLellan, R., Iyengar, L., Jeffries, B., & Oerlemans, N. (2014). *Living planet report 2014: Species and spaces, people and places*. Gland: World Wildlife Fund. 349
350
- McMillin, J., & Dyball, R. (2009). Developing a whole-of-university approach to educating for sustainability: Linking curriculum, research and sustainable campus operations. *Journal of Education for Sustainable Development*, 3, 55-64. 351
352
- Meyer, A. (2015). Does education increase pro-environmental behaviour? Evidence from Europe. *Ecological Economics*, 1, 108-121. 353
354
- Murphy, R. (2006). Environmental realism: From apologetics to substance. *Nature + Culture*, 1, 181-204. 355
- Nakashima, D. J., Galloway McLean, K., Thulstrup, H. D., Ramos Castillo, A., & Rubis, J. T. (2012). *Weathering uncertainty: Traditional knowledge for climate change assessment and adaptation*. Paris/Darwin: UNESCO/UNU. 356
357
- Nussbaum, M. C. (2010). *Not for profit: Why democracy needs the humanities*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, The Public Square Book Series. 358
359
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). (2009). *Green at fifteen? How 15-year-olds perform in environmental science in PISA 2006*. Paris: Author. 360
361
- Orr, D. (1994). *Earth in mind: On education, environment, and the human prospect*. Washington, DC: Island Press. 362
- Orr, D. (1996). Educating for the environment: Higher education's challenge of the next century. *The Journal of Environmental Education*, 27, 7-10. 363
364
- Orr, D. (2010). Two views of our planet's future: David Orr explains how two environmentalists' manifestos bracket the debate on climate change - One favouring technological solutions, the other local interventions. *Nature*, 464(7293), 1273. 365
366
367
- AQ13 Pope Francis. (2015). *Encyclical letter Laudato Si' of the Holy Father Francis on care for our Common Home*.  368
- Psacharopoulos, G., & Patrinos, H. A. (2014). *Returns to investment in education: A review and further update*. Washington, DC: World Bank. 369
370
- Sen, A. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. 371
- Stavenhagen, R. (2015). Indigenous peoples' rights to education. *European Journal of Education*, 50, 254-257. 372
- AQ14 Sterling, S. (2004). The ecological and environmental dimensions of the holistic curriculum. In *Encyclopaedia of life support systems (Theme 6.6.1 'Education for Sustainability')*. EOLSS Publishers, UNESCO.  373
374
- Strang, V. (2008). Cosmopolitan natures: Paradigms and politics in Australian environmental management. *Nature and Culture*, 3, 41-62. 375
376
- Theimer, S., & Ernst, J. (2012). Fostering "Connectedness to Nature" through U.S. fish and wildlife service education and outreach programming: A qualitative evaluation. *Applied Environmental Education and Communication*, 11, 79-87. 377
378
- Touchton, M., & Wampler, B. (2013). Improving social well-being through new democratic institutions. *Comparative Political Studies*, 47, 1442-1469. 379
380
- UNESCO-LSE. (2013). *UNESCO-LSE MPA Capstone Project: 2012-2013. The effects of education on major development challenges: The case of environmental protection*. Paris: UNESCO. 381
382
- ~~United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO). (2012). *Learning about water: Multiple perspective approaches. Learning and training tools*. Paris: Author. 383
384~~
- AQ15 United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO). (2014). *Shaping the future we want: UN Decade of Education for Sustainable Development (2005-2014) (Final report)*. Paris: Author. 385
386

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO). (2016). *Education for people and planet: Creating sustainable futures for all*. Paris: Author. 387
388

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2012). *Global outlook on sustainable consumption and production policies: Taking action together*. Paris: Author. 389
390

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). (2015). *Sustainable consumption and production: A handbook for policymakers* (global ed.). Paris: Author. 391
392

United Nations. (2015). *Global sustainable development report* (advanced unedited version). New York: Author. 393

UN Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues (UNPFII). (2008). *Consultation workshop and dialogue on indigenous peoples' self-determined development or development with identity*. Tivoli, Italy: Author. 394
395

Van den Berg, A. E., Hartig, T., & Staats, H. (2007). Preference for nature in urbanized societies: Stress, restoration, and the pursuit of sustainability. *Journal of Social Issues*, 63, 1540–4560. 396
397

Van den Berg, A. E., Maas, J., Verheij, R. A., & Groenewegen, P. P. (2010). Green space as a buffer between stressful life events and health. *Social Science and Medicine*, 70, 1203–1210. 398
399

Waite, S. (2010). Losing our way? The downward path for outdoor learning for children aged 2–11 years. *Journal of Adventure Education and Outdoor Learning*, 10, 111–126. 400
401

Wals, A. E. J. (2012). Learning our way out of un-sustainability: The role of environmental education. In S. Clayton (Ed.), *Oxford handbook on environmental and conservation psychology*. London: Oxford University Press. 402
403

Wals, A. E. J. (Ed.). (2007). *Social learning towards a sustainable world*. Wageningen: Wageningen Academic Publishers. 404

Waters, C. N., Zalasiewicz, J., Summerhayes, C., Barnosky, A. D., Poirier, C., Galuszka, A., Cearreta, A., Edgeworth, M., ... Wolfe,  (2016). The Anthropocene is functionally and stratigraphically distinct from the Holocene. *Science*, 351 (6269). 405
406
407

Wolff, L. A. (2014). Nature and sustainability: An educational study with Rousseau and Foucault. *Environmental Education Research*, 20, 430–431. 408
409

World Wildlife Fund (WWF). (2016). *Living planet report 2016. Risk and resilience in a new era*. Gland: WWF International. 410

Zaradic, P. A., & Pergams, O. R. W. (2007). Videophilia: Implications for childhood development and conservation. *The Journal of Developmental Processes*, 2, 130–147. 411
412

How to cite this article: Wals AEJ, Benavot A. Can we meet the sustainability challenges? The role of education and lifelong learning. *Eur J Educ*. 2017;00:1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12250> 414
415
416

AQ16